

### Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant(s)

10/693.017

HITT ET AL.

Examiner

Art Unit

Nashriva S. Farvaz

2856

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:**

(1) *Nashmiya S. Fayvaz*.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) *Charles Fredericks*.

(4)

**Date of Interview:** 13 May 2010

Time:

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection in view of Buss et al in view of Chuang et al

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Buss et al and Twersky et al

## Part II.

## SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

an agreement was reached to amend the claims to alleviate 35 USC 112(2) problems and more clearly define the claims over the prior art. See attached Examiner's Amendment

### Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

N.S.F.

Examiner, Art Unit 2856

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)